





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,052	10/27/1999	KIYOSHI IRINO	970901A	4139
23850	7590 11/29/2001			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN, HATTORI, MCLELAND & NAUGHTON, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW, SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			DIAZ, JOSE R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
-	<u> </u>	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action		09/428,052	IRINO, KIYOSHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		José R. Díaz	2815			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Theref final re conditi	EPLY FILED 09 November 2001 FAILS TO PLAGORE, further action by the applicant is required to a spection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a)	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extend 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mostent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	e tee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	pecause:				
(a)	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying th			
(d)	they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly			
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or vould be rejected is provided be	b)∏ will be entered and an low or appended.			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:				
	Claim(s) allowed: 15 and 16.					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: 6 and 10-13.					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a)☐ approved or b)☐ disap	oproved by the Examiner.			
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) ′ 09/428,052



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "wherein activation of said impurity element is conducted simultaneously to said thermal annealing process", as presented in the amendment, sets forth subject matter which was not considered in the finally rejected claim and hence presents new issues which require further consideration and/or search. However, Applicant is advised that the proposed limitations are taught by Ito et al. in column 4, lines 34-39 and 47-48. Furthermore, claim 13 fails to further limit the subject matter of the proposed claim 6. Therefore, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.